|  |
| --- |
| **Table 2.2 Correlations among the Winningness, Vulnerability, and Fragility (restricted models): 1868– 2016** |
| |  | **Winningness** | **Vulnerability** | **Fragility** | **EC outcome (DEM)** | | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | | *Democratic party correlations (restricted model)* | | | | | | Winningness | 1 | -0.947 | -0.973 | 0.726 | | Vulnerability | -0.947 | 1 | 0.886 | -0.807 | | Fragility | -0.973 | 0.886 | 1 | -0.667 | | EC outcome | 0.726 | -0.807 | -0.667 | 1 | |  | **Winningness** | **Vulnerability** | **Fragility** | **EC outcome (REP)** | | *Republican party correlations (restricted model)* | | | | | | Winningness | 1 | -0.964 | -0.810 | 0.726 | | Vulnerability | -0.964 | 1 | 0.705 | -0.658 | | Fragility | -0.810 | 0.705 | 1 | -0.759 | | EC outcome | 0.726 | -0.658 | -0.759 | 1 | |
| Note: Restricted values are defined only on the elections in which *Winningness* is neither 0 or 1 (17 of 38). *Vulnerability* and *Fragility* took value 0 in Table 2.1 when *Winningness* is 1 since the candidate who wins all the coalitions cannot be vulnerable or have fragile coalitions. Here, only elections which were decided by competitive states are used to calculate the Pearson Pairwise Correlations. |